

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,860	01/09/2002	Chi-Wen Liu	67,200-624	8436
7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER		
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN	
Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			1765	
		DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\bigcirc$ ( )				
Advisory Action	10/043,860	LIU ÉT AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DuyVu n Deo	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper r ch places the app	reply to a dication in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. I36(a) and the appropri fee. The appropriate the final Office action;	. See MPEP iate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below	<b>'</b> );				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec			11				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does l	NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which v	were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			ed and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-6, 9-13, 15-19, 21.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:	W 4/28/04						
•							

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/043,860

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation in claim 1 would require further consideration for claims 5, 6, 9-12, 21 since they do not depend on claims 3 and 4. the new limitation in claim 13 would require further consideration for claims 15, 17-19 since they do not depend on claims 16, the new limitation in claim 15 would require further consideration and/or search.